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The Laboratory in the News

J oint Human Genome Institute operational
Lawrence Livermore has combined its human genome research efforts with those of Los Alamos National Laboratory and Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory in the Department of Energy’s new Joint Human Genome Institute, which begins operation in January.

Formation of the joint institute was announced in October by Martha Krebs, director of DOE’s Office of Energy Research. Named scientific director of the institute was Elbert Branscomb, a senior scientist at Lawrence Livermore's Biology and Biotechnology Research Division.

With their resources combined, said Branscomb, the labs will work to advance knowledge of the basic structure of the entire human genome, or genetic blueprint, through a coordinated effort whose initial major emphasis will be high-throughput DNA sequencing.

For the past 10 years, researchers involved in the worldwide Human Genome Project have focused much of their efforts on mapping human DNA, as well as on developing the technology to do the sequencing. This work has progressed faster than expected, and we’re ready to undertake a full-scale assault on the sequencing task itself.

Besides contributing substantially to the worldwide sequencing effort, institute personnel are seeking to develop and apply new technologies for what Branscomb sees as the project's next great goal: deriving biological meaning from the otherwise cryptic sequence data.

Contact: Elbert Branscomb (510) 422-5681 (branscomb1@llnl.gov).

Lab chromosome work helps identify migraine gene
Using chromosome fragments obtained from Lawrence Livermore, medical researchers at Leiden University in the Netherlands have identified a gene that may hold a key to understanding and eventually treating migraine headache.

In the November 1, 1996, issue of the journal Cell, the Dutch researchers reported discovery of an abnormally structured gene in people suffering from a rare inherited form of migraine.

For the past 10 years, researchers involved in the worldwide Human Genome Project have focused much of their efforts on mapping human DNA, as well as on developing the technology to do the sequencing. This work has progressed faster than expected, and we’re ready to undertake a full-scale assault on the sequencing task itself.

Besides contributing substantially to the worldwide sequencing effort, institute personnel are seeking to develop and apply new technologies for what Branscomb sees as the project's next great goal: deriving biological meaning from the otherwise cryptic sequence data.

Contact: Elbert Branscomb (510) 422-5681 (branscomb1@llnl.gov).

Lab technology to help site oil wells
Laboratory scientists are helping oil producers more accurately determine the best places to site wells.

The collaborative research project seeks to (1) develop better tiltmeters— instruments that measure changes in the tilt of the Earth’s surface — and (2) improve computer models that predict tiltmeter signals. The result could be lower oil production costs and, ultimately, greater oil output.

Producing oil from American oil fields often requires "hydrofracturing" — the cracking of underground rock to provide channels through which oil can flow. Tiltmeters reveal the primary direction of cracking, which helps drillers decide where to sink additional wells.

The Laboratory research project seeks to develop a tiltmeter that can work for hydrofractures as deep as 3 kilometers (10,000 feet). Currently, tiltmeter usefulness is limited to hydrofractures less than 1.8 kilometers (6,000 feet) deep; this is a significant limitation because 80% of all hydrofractures take place at depths greater than that.

To fine-tune computer models that predict tiltmeter signals from hydrofractures, researchers will combine data obtained from field tests of the new tiltmeters in California and Texas with existing geologic information supplied by oil companies.

Accurate computer mapping of underground geology will help drillers decide where to place additional wells and how to hydrofracture them.

Dubbed the Tiltmeter Hydraulic Fracture Imaging Project, the venture is a collaboration between the Laboratory and Pinnacle Technologies Inc. of San Francisco. Additional contributors are the University of Texas at Austin, Sandia National Laboratories, and a number of oil companies.

Contact: Harvey Mohrweiser (510) 422-0534 (mohrweiser1@llnl.gov).

Accelerators at Livermore: Back to the Future
A CCELERATORS have played an important role in the history of Lawrence Livermore, and it is obvious that they will play an even more significant role in our future.

Accelerator science currently constitutes a growing core competency for the Laboratory. One factor driving the growth of our accelerator capability is the fundamental relationship of accelerators to this Laboratory’s national security mission.

Two major national security projects currently under way are using our accelerator expertise. The first is the Department of Energy’s study of the Accelerator Production of Tritium (APT). In this facility, accelerator technology will be used to provide a new source for the tritium needed to maintain the safety and reliability of the nation’s nuclear stockpile under the Stockpile Stewardship and Management Program. Los Alamos National Laboratory is leading a multilaboratory program to design the APT. At the request of the Department of Energy, we recently evaluated the Los Alamos technology incorporated in the preliminary design. We are now in partnership with Los Alamos and Brookhaven National Laboratory to make the APT a reality and will be making innovative—and cost-effective—engineering and physics contributions to final accelerator design.

Another critical national security project is the Advanced Hydrotest Facility. This planned facility will provide substantial improvements in dynamic radiography as a major tool for helping to make nuclear weapons safe and reliable without testing.

The facility will be based on new accelerator technology, but it is not immediately obvious whether imaging with flash x rays produced from an electron beam or direct imaging with a proton beam is the better radiographic approach.

One of Livermore’s unique attributes is our capability not only to evaluate the relative advantages of photon versus proton imaging but also to determine the appropriate accelerator technology for each method.

The other factor driving the growth of our accelerator work is collaborations with other laboratories both in the U.S. and in Europe on large-scale physics research projects. One of our most important—and visible—accelerator collaborations is with the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center (SLAC) and Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) to build the B-Factor at SLAC. The B-Factor project, described in an article appearing on p. 4, is one of the most exciting high-energy physics research efforts today. The facility will produce millions of subatomic particles called B mesons, thereby enabling physicists to determine why such a huge discrepancy now exists between the amounts of matter and antimatter in the universe.

The B-Factor is also important because we are demonstrating to the international science community that Lawrence Livermore is an ideal laboratory with which to collaborate in the area of accelerator science. Our B-Factor work has drawn international attention to Livermore’s capabilities, in particular the way our physicists and engineers work together to solve problems in accelerator design, technology, and manufacturing. We have long been recognized for our accelerator engineering program. But our B-Factor effort has demonstrated what can happen when physicists work closely with engineers to creatively solve challenging accelerator problems. This world-class physics—engineering team, which is also making contributions to projects in Europe and elsewhere in the U.S., sets Lawrence Livermore apart from others in the nuclear and particle physics research world.

On the horizon is the Next Linear Collider, an exciting project that, like the B-Factor, will take us back to the very moment of the Big Bang. As described in the B-Factor article in this issue of S&T, the extraordinary power of this new collider will require new accelerator designs and manufacturing methods. Wherever it is built, we are confident Livermore will be a key player in its design. We are working with LBNL and SLAC to tackle the biggest design challenges of the Next Linear Collider.

Richard Fortner is Associate Director of Physics and Space Technology.

(continued on page 28)
Numerous mysteries of the universe are the overwhelming preponderance of matter over antimatter. Physicists believe that a few trillionths of a second after the universe was created—the so-called Big Bang—matter and antimatter existed in equal amounts. And yet, the known universe today is overwhelmingly made of matter, the result of some process long ago that must have favored matter over antimatter (see the box on p. 12).

Physicists believe the key to unraveling this mystery—and discovering the ancient origin of matter—is to follow closely the decay of a pair of artificially produced, extremely short-lived particles of matter and antimatter, the B meson and its antiparticle. A serious investigation, however, requires a “factory” designed to produce 30 million pairs of B mesons and anti-B mesons each year. Such a facility, a virtual “time machine” back to the earliest moments of the Big Bang, is now under construction at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center (SLAC) near Menlo Park, California (see Figure 1).

Total project cost is $300 million, including the accelerator ($177 million), the detector ($73 million), and research and development costs. The B-Factory accelerator portion is a combined effort of SLAC, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory.

The B-Factory’s two underground rings, each 2,200 meters (a mile and a half) in circumference, will generate B mesons by colliding electrons and positrons (the antimatter counterpart of electrons) moving at near the speed of light. In helping to design and manufacture many of the major components and systems for the B-Factory rings and its giant, three-story-tall detector, Lawrence Livermore is strengthening its reputation as a world-class center of excellence for accelerator science and technology and high-energy physics. Nearly 200 Laboratory specialists representing a broad range of disciplines, from electroplating to particle physics, are contributing to the B-Factory effort.

The B-Factory work is only the latest chapter in a long history of Lawrence Livermore accelerator projects. Many have been built at Livermore, including the Advanced Test Accelerator, Flash X-Ray Facility, Experimental Test Accelerator, and LINAC, a 100-million-electron-volt linear accelerator. A team of Lawrence Livermore engineers and physicists contributed to designing parts...
The B-Factory accelerator will consist of two storage rings built one above the other in an existing tunnel at the east end of SLAC (see Figure 2). The upper ring is for positrons; the lower for electrons (Figure 3). The rings will be connected to the existing 3.2-kilometer- (2-mile-) long SLAC linear accelerator, which will act as a particle injector. The positrons will be generated part way along the linear accelerator by crashing high-energy electrons into a cooled rotating tungsten target. Both the electrons and positrons are stored in existing damping rings, which will shrink the size of the beams, before they are reinjected and accelerated down to the storage rings. The streams of electrons and positrons travel in opposite directions at nearly the speed of light within 10-centimeter- (4-inch-) diameter metal beam pipes. Magnets guide these streams and narrow them to beams that are 1 to 2 millimeters wide. By the time the beams collide in the middle of the detector, they are flat “ribbons,” about 6 micrometers high and 150 micrometers wide.

The construction project is making use of much of SLAC’s existing PEP (Positron–Electron Project) facility. Work involves renovating the existing high-energy ring (upgrade of existing ring) for the electrons and positrons to reduce their size and then further accelerated before being injected into their respective storage rings.

The project is expected to generate enormous amounts of raw data each year. The data will be distributed within a collaboration that includes nearly 500 physicists from universities and laboratories in the United States, Europe, Russia, China, and Taiwan. Lawrence Livermore physicists will be part of the American team analyzing the long-awaited data.

Figure 2. The B-Factory’s two storage rings, one for electrons and one for positrons, are being built one above the other in an existing tunnel. The streams of electrons and positrons will travel in opposite directions at nearly the speed of light and converge in an interaction region surrounded by the buffers. The rings will be connected to the existing 3.2-kilometer- (2-mile-) long SLAC linear accelerator, which will act as a particle injector. The positrons will be generated part way along the linear accelerator by crashing high-energy electrons into a cooled rotating tungsten target. Both the electrons and positrons are stored in existing damping rings, which will shrink the size of the beams, before they are reinjected and accelerated down to the storage rings. The streams of electrons and positrons travel in opposite directions at nearly the speed of light within 10-centimeter- (4-inch-) diameter metal beam pipes. Magnets guide these streams and narrow them to beams that are 1 to 2 millimeters wide. By the time the beams collide in the middle of the detector, they are flat “ribbons,” about 6 micrometers high and 150 micrometers wide.

The construction project is making use of much of SLAC’s existing PEP (Positron–Electron Project) facility. Work involves renovating the existing high-energy PEP storage ring for the electrons, adding a new low-energy storage ring for the positrons, and installing a huge detector called BaBar that encompasses the central part of the interaction region where the electrons and positrons are made to collide.

A key feature of this collider is that electrons and positrons will circulate and collide with unequal (or asymmetric) energies so scientists can better study the particles generated in the collisions. The electrons will be accelerated to 9 billion electron volts and the positrons to 3.1 billion electron volts. The asymmetric energy of the colliding electrons and positrons will cause B mesons and anti-B mesons with a “kick” forward, away from the collision point, making it easier for the massive detector to pinpoint the origin of the B particles’ decay products.

The project is expected to generate enormous amounts of raw data each year. The data will be distributed within a collaboration that includes nearly 500 physicists representing 75 institutions in the U.S., Canada, the United Kingdom, France, Italy, Germany, Russia, China, and Taiwan. Lawrence Livermore physicists will be part of the American team analyzing the long-awaited data.

Tri-Lab Planning
Planning began in 1990 when the directors of SLAC and Lawrence Berkeley and Lawrence Livermore laboratories agreed to a coordinated research and development effort aimed at completing a conceptual design report for a B-Factory sited at SLAC. On October 4, 1993, President Clinton announced the DOE decision in favor of the SLAC–LBNL–LLNL proposal over a competing one from Cornell University.

Although SLAC is the lead laboratory, project management is drawn from the three centers. “It’s hard to tell that there are three labs—it’s more like a single superlab,” says van Bibber. The three-lab partnership is flexible, allowing it to draw upon a broad base of expertise and thereby trade or share tasks among the laboratories. Indeed, the DOE has hailed the flexibility of the project’s collective management and procurement activities as a model for major science projects throughout the department.

As an example, SLAC was initially responsible for fabricating a prototype of the high-power radio-frequency component designs, engineering concepts, and manufacturing technologies.

Serving as a U.S. Flagship
Livermore accelerator expertise is most visible in its contributions to the B-Factory. Scheduled for completion in early 1999, the facility will be one of the flagships of the U.S. high-energy physics program, along with Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory’s main ring injector upgrade to the Tevatron accelerator. Thousands of components, many of which will define the state of the art in accelerator technology, are being designed and built by the three partnering laboratories, which are working closely with a host of small and large U.S. contractors.

Figure 3. The B-Factory’s two storage rings will be vertically stacked within the existing Positron–Electron Project (PEP) tunnel. The top ring, which is being added, is designed for positrons that will be continually guided and focused by a series of magnets. The bottom ring, designed for the more energetic electrons, requires more massive magnet systems encompassing the ring to keep the electron beams focused and on track. In the bottom center of the drawing is a complex of additional magnets and diagnostic equipment.

* The BaBar detector is named after the elephant in Jean de Brunhoff’s children’s stories and is a playful pun on the physics notation for B and anti-B mesons—B, B̅—which is pronounced “B, B bar.”
Livermore experts are also cleaning nearly a kilometer of 2.4-meter- (8-foot-) long straight sections of beam pipes through a process called glow-discharge cleaning that rides the metal of residual carbon and contaminants. The process, conducted in Livermore clean rooms, is essential because an electron beam tends to attract dust particles left in the pipes much as static electricity does (see Figure 6). “Having extremely clean pipes will help ensure that the accelerator starts out with a very good vacuum,” says Mugge.

Another Livermore responsibility is a critical 5-meter- (16.4-foot-) long device called the distributed ion pump. The pump will be installed within each of the 192 dipole magnets around the high-energy ring. As the particle beams circle around, they will generate a huge amount of x-ray energy, which will heat the metal pipes. The pipes in turn will discharge hot gases, which must be immediately removed to maintain the high vacuum conditions of 10^-9 torr, or one-trillionth the atmospheric pressure of Earth at sea level.

Electrons streaming off the distributed ion pump will ionize the discharged gas, which will heat the metal pipes. The pipes in turn will discharge hot gases, which must be immediately removed to maintain the high vacuum conditions of 10^-9 torr, or one-trillionth the atmospheric pressure of Earth at sea level. Livermore experts are also cleaning nearly a kilometer of 2.4-meter- (8-foot-) long straight sections of beam pipes through a process called glow-discharge cleaning that rides the metal of residual carbon and contaminants. The process, conducted in Livermore clean rooms, is essential because an electron beam tends to attract dust particles left in the pipes much as static electricity does (see Figure 6). “Having extremely clean pipes will help ensure that the accelerator starts out with a very good vacuum,” says Mugge.

Another Livermore responsibility is a critical 5-meter- (16.4-foot-) long device called the distributed ion pump. The pump will be installed within each of the 192 dipole magnets around the high-energy ring. As the particle beams circle around, they will generate a huge amount of x-ray energy, which will heat the metal pipes. The pipes in turn will discharge hot gases, which must be immediately removed to maintain the high vacuum conditions of 10^-9 torr, or one-trillionth the atmospheric pressure of Earth at sea level.

Electrons streaming off the distributed ion pump will ionize the discharged gas, which will heat the metal pipes. The pipes in turn will discharge hot gases, which must be immediately removed to maintain the high vacuum conditions of 10^-9 torr, or one-trillionth the atmospheric pressure of Earth at sea level.
Building the vacuum system and several critical diagnostic systems for the interaction region, a football-field-long assembly of magnets that guide and focus the opposing beams into the center of the giant BaBar detector (see Figure 7), where the collision occurs. Here, stability and alignment of the beam are crucial to the success of the project. For example, vacuum pressures must be extremely low—$10^{-10}$ torr.

Without such extreme vacuum conditions, the beams would lose energy by colliding with residual air molecules. The vacuum is also necessary to reduce “noise” in the detector from interactions with gas molecules that would be confused with the large number of particles produced by B-particle decay.

“...There are a tremendous number of components that have to come together at the same time in the interaction region. It’s like assembling a one-of-a-kind exotic automobile,” says Robert Yamamoto, deputy division leader of the Applied Research Engineering Division and the coordinator for LLNL engineering work for the B-Factory.

The design of detector subsystems within BaBar has required close working relationships with research groups and manufacturers in Italy, Britain, China, and Russia. For example, the cesium iodide calorimeter, co-designed by Livermore physicists and engineers, is made of 6,000 cesium iodide crystals being manufactured in China and Russia. The 30-centimeter-(12-inch-) long crystals will measure various products from B-particle decay.

Another subsystem, the instrumented flux return (IFR), is a joint project of researchers at Livermore and in Italy. The IFR consists of a large number of specialized detectors called resistive plate chambers (RPCs). These chambers allow measurements of charged muons that traverse the outermost iron plates forming the magnetic field flux return for the BaBar detector. They also allow the detection of certain decay products that can be used to enhance the data sample from the detector. Livermore physicists have built a special receiving and assembly area at SLAC and are now beginning to receive the first RPCs from Italian manufacturers for testing and commissioning.

Lawrence Livermore computational physicists are simulating events in the interaction region that are telling the international B-Factory research community how the detectors will track the tens of thousands of daily collisions between electrons and positrons. Livermore physicist Craig Wuest notes that the simulations are becoming increasingly realistic as detector designs are finalized and the systems manufactured and installed.

Beyond the B-Factory

The Laboratory’s Accelerator Technologies Engineering Group is no stranger to large-scale accelerator projects, having done important work for the past several years for both U.S. and European experiments. Today, besides its B-Factory contributions, the group is designing and fabricating the magnet system for the Photon-Electron New Heavy Ion Experiment (PHENIX) led by Brookhaven National Laboratory. The 3,500-ton magnet system measures 10 meters high, 10 meters across, and 20 meters long (30 by 30 by 60 feet). The LLNL team is likewise supporting the Main Injector Neutrino Oscillation Search (MINOS), an experiment led by Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory that will search for muon neutrinos oscillating into electron neutrinos or tau neutrinos. Livermore activities focus on engineering several components, including a detector composed of 600 octagonal plates of 8-meter-(25-foot)-tall steel. Livermore physicists are also leading the research and development of resistive-plate-chamber technology for the 32,000 square meters (8 acres) of active detectors that will be interleaved with the steel.

Enhancing Reputations

Van Bibber says Livermore’s extensive B-Factory work, combined with support for other projects worldwide, augurs well for major Livermore participation in future accelerator projects. He also notes that many capabilities that are being brought to bear on the technical challenges of the B-Factory are supporting Lawrence Livermore’s diverse efforts for the DOE Stockpile Stewardship Program.

“Our people have their feet in physics research as well as other applications,” says van Bibber. “The solution we come up with for a B-Factory problem may also be used to solve new problems in defense sciences and vice versa.”

For example, DOE’s Accelerated Strategic Computing Initiative, which is being developed primarily for the...
Physicists believe a key to the matter-antimatter disparity in the universe lies in understanding an effect called charge parity violation. First observed in the 1960s, charge parity violation refers to the apparently small differences in the way that certain short-lived particles and their antiparticles decay. Many scientists, starting with the renowned Russian physicist Andrei Sakharov, have suggested that charge parity violation is the reason why the universe seems to be composed almost exclusively of matter. Or, as Stanford Linear Accelerator Center Director Burton Richter puts it, “Charge parity violation is why we’re here.”

Physicists think that the best way to understand the phenomenon is by studying the decay patterns of the rare B meson, a type of unstable but electrically neutral particle, and its antiparticle, the anti-B meson. The B meson consists of “anti-b” quark and a “d” quark (quarks are the fundamental building blocks of matter), while the anti-B meson consists of a “b” quark and an “anti-d” quark.

To measure the decay patterns of these extremely short-lived (1.5 trillionths of a second or 10^{-12} second) particles, investigators need a machine to produce a myriad of B mesons and anti-B mesons. “B-Factories” at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center (SLAC), Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL), and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory have signed a memorandum of understanding to collaborate on research and development for the so-called “B-Factory.”

A Bright Future for the NLC

The laboratory physicists estimate that between now and 2010, the total budget for large accelerators in the world will total about $10 billion. The biggest American project on the drawing board is called the Next Linear Collider (NLC). The NLC is proposed as a 30-kilometer (18.6-mile) long facility to collide electrons and positrons at energies up to a trillion electron volts. Designed to probe more deeply the fundamental nature of the universe, the NLC requires a large luminosity necessitating that the beam width be no more than 40 atomic diameters to achieve the required data rate.

SLAC, Lawrence Berkeley, and Lawrence Livermore have signed a memorandum of understanding to collaborate on research and development for the NLC, which is expected to be built on or near an existing national laboratory by the consortium. The key to making the NLC a reality, van Biber emphasizes, is attracting the cost of the project with advanced manufacturing methods. For example, the NLC will require the fast, cheap, and precise manufacture of 20 kilometers (12.4 miles) of linear accelerator structures. These structures will interact the high-power microwaves on which the electrons and positrons “surf,” gaining energy on their way to collisions in the interaction region. The structures will be built up of two million diamond-point-machined copper cells, diffusion bonded together. Lawrence Livermore’s expertise in advanced manufacturing technologies is expected to play a pivotal role in reducing costs by factors of 2 to 6 over present estimates.

While preliminary design work on the NLC is under way, a team of Livermore physicists is working to establish a center of excellence in accelerator science and technology at the 100-million-electron-volt linear accelerator (LINAC) at Livermore. The goal is to establish accelerators as a cornerstone Lawrence Livermore program by having a centralized facility for research in advanced accelerator concepts that will draw key technologies from several Laboratory directorates and programs.

An avenue of research at the LINAC that has extraordinary potential takes advantage of recent Livermore advances in high power laser technology (see the November 1995 issue of S&TR, pp. 34–36, and the December 1996 S&T, pp. 4–11, for descriptions of LLNL’s new terawatt and petawatt lasers). Physicists plan to use a 100-terawatt (100-trillion-watt) laser built by the Laboratory’s Laser Programs to explore novel acceleration methods. The research could revolutionize the design—and capability—of particle accelerators. An accelerator using laser power could in principle reduce the size of the B-Factory accelerator at SLAC to that of a large office.

Van Biber says that one of the primary goals of a vigorous Lawrence Livermore accelerator R&D effort is to develop compact accelerators for defense, industry, and advanced research applications. Examples include developing portable accelerators to probe the interior of a small boat or truck and “interrogate” its cargo for nuclear materials or to detect land mines still buried in battle areas of Europe and Asia. Future compact electron accelerators could also make femtosecond (quadrillionth-of-a-second) x rays broadly accessible to scientists for biological or materials research. It seems fitting that advanced accelerator technology is becoming a major focus for the Laboratory in the future. After all, it was E. O. Lawrence, Lawrence Livermore’s founder, who was awarded the Nobel Prize in Physics more than 50 years ago for his pioneering work in accelerators.

—Arnie Heller

**Key Words:** accelerators, Accelerated Strategic Computing Initiative (ASCI), Accelerator Production of Tritium (APT), Advanced Hydrodet Facility (AHF), B-Factory, B meson, Ballistics detector, Big Bang, Center for Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (CAMS), charge parity violation, LINAC, Main Injector Neutrino Oscillation Search (MINOS), Next Linear Collider (NLC), Photon-Electron New Heavy Ion Experiment (PHENIX), Stanford Linear Accelerator Center (SLAC), Stockpile Stewardship and Management Program, 100-terawatt laser.

For further information contact Karl van Biber (510) 423-8549 (kvanbiber1@llnl.gov).
Since its inception, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory has been a world leader in evaluating exposures to radiation and their resultant risks. We use dosimetry to infer the “dose,” or energy deposited in tissue, that a person has received as a result of exposure to radiation. To study past events, we have found ways to reconstruct the dose that individuals received many years earlier. We are also able to project the radionuclide retention in an individual 50 years after exposure. We can run a sampling program, do all of the analytical work, and produce assessments of the dose received. Few other facilities possess this range of capabilities.

Ultrasonic measuring devices and sophisticated modeling techniques give us the means to quantify and extrapolate radiation exposures. We use fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), developed at Livermore, to study the effects of radiation at the cellular level. This tool gives us a better understanding of the risks associated with radiation and provides the basis for radiation safety standards worldwide.

The Laboratory has been called upon to investigate many significant exposures. We began studying the Chernobyl accident almost as soon as it happened. Dose reconstruction work related to the bombing of Hiroshima continues today with studies of nickel-63 in copper to refine the estimate of the neutron dose. We participated in a huge project to reconstruct the dose received by populations residing downwind from nuclear tests performed at the Nevada Test Site from 1951 to 1981. Another study will analyze the exposures to workers, children, and area residents at Mayak in the former Soviet Union, where plutonium and other nuclear weapons materials were produced.

Workers, including those at Livermore, who handle significant quantities of radioactive material as part of their jobs are routinely monitored. We have combined those data with information on monitored workers extending back to the Manhattan Project to update and revise the models that dosimetrists throughout the world use to describe the retention of radioactive substances in the body. In another project, our dose assessment and projection efforts will help the residents of Bikini Atoll, whose homeland was contaminated by atmospheric nuclear testing, return home soon.

We also work with numerous federal agencies to avoid nuclear accidents and to be prepared for them when they do occur. Our Atmospheric Release Advisory Capability (ARAC—see pp. 18–20) is pre-eminent in assisting with advanced planning for potentially hazardous activities, staff training, and emergency response.

**Assessing Exposure to Radiation**

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory is a world leader in evaluating exposures to radiation, monitoring releases of radionuclides to the environment, and managing the environmental and health risks of such releases.
The Chernobyl Accident

Shortly after the April 1986 Chernobyl nuclear power plant accident, the Department of Energy assembled a task force, which included a Livermore team led by Lynn Anspaugh, to assess the accident’s biological effects. Reconstructing the dose posed special problems. For many months after the accident, the source term—the amount and kinds of radionuclides released—was not revealed by the Soviet Union and so had to be inferred.

One of the earliest samples of Chernobyl’s radioactive effluent was an air sample taken in Finland nearly two days after the release. From the ratio of the activities of the dozens of radionuclides in this sample, the known half-lives of the nuclides, and the known radioactive products (“daughters”) of these nuclides and their activities and half-lives, we could calculate what the radionuclide mixture in the air would be at any subsequent (or earlier) time.

Then, by adding measurements of the external gamma-exposure activity rates or radionuclide deposition on the ground, we could calculate what the external exposure rate and dose from each radionuclide would be to individuals, both at the time of the sampling and well into the future. Once we reconstructed the major pathways by which these radionuclides could enter individuals via the ingestion of contaminated food, we could calculate what their internal doses would be.

Finally, from the external and internal dose, we estimated the possible biological effects on individuals and populations of the radioactive release using health-risk models (see box pp. 18–20).

Calculating the internal dose is generally the most difficult part of a dose reconstruction problem because of the many available mechanisms by which radiation can enter the human body. We used PATHWAY, a model that was developed for our dose reconstruction work at the Nevada Test Site, to calculate radiation dose resulting from ingested radionuclides.

Another consideration in this work was the sheer size of the Chernobyl release and the complex global circulation pattern the radioactive plume followed. ARAC’s modeling efforts showed that Chernobyl produced three separate clouds of radioactivity that went in different directions. The radiation released in the initial explosion rose to an altitude of 1.5 to 7.5 kilometers (0.9 to 4.7 miles) and went east and southeast, whereas the radionuclide released from the resurfacing fire stayed below 1.5 kilometers and headed northwest (see Figure 1).

Our work showed that the dose to individuals and the collective dose to populations varied considerably from one country to another. Only within the immediate vicinity (30 kilometers, or 18.6 miles) of Chernobyl were we able to detect the biological effects expected to be large enough to be detected epidemiologically.

More recently, the incidence of childhood thyroid cancer has risen dramatically in the areas of Belarus, Ukraine, and Russia nearest Chernobyl, prompting a renewed interest in reconstructing the dose because of iodine-131, which collects in the thyroid gland. However, iodine-131 has a half-life of only eight days and thus had decayed by the time most soil measurements were taken. Ordinarily, iodine-131 deposition can be estimated from the cesium-137 level in soil. But the explosion and subsequent fire at Chernobyl produced releases with different relative quantities of the two radionuclides, and the debris then traveled in different directions. The ratio of cesium-137 to iodine-131 was thus not the same at different locations.

In a project for Belarus, Livermore’s Tore Straume, Lynn Anspaugh, and others developed a method using accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS—see box pp. 18–20) to measure the deposition in soil of the isotope iodine-129, which is much longer lived but more difficult to measure than iodine-131. Initial measurements of the ratio of iodine-129 to iodine-131 indicated that the ratio is constant over different locations, making it possible to reconstruct the iodine-131 dose from iodine-129 soil measurements. The next step will be to take enough soil samples to develop an “iodine map” for all of Belarus to determine the correlation between thyroid cancer and iodine deposition. This information will be enormously useful for future epidemiological studies, for establishing thyroid cancer screening procedures, and for dose reconstruction in other areas of the country.

Assessing Worker Exposure

When x rays were first used in the late 19th century, skin burns were observed, and in the 1920s, scientists discovered that radiation exposure caused genetic mutations on a cellular level. When the Manhattan Project began in the 1940s, radiation protection was considered important from the start. Despite advances and established practices did not exist. Scientists did not know how to evaluate the dose to workers from internal depositions of radioactive materials because there was little information on the biological effects of radiation and how the body retains radioactive substances.

Since then, research has provided better information about biological effects and retention of radionuclides, with a consequent improvement in radiation protection standards and practices. Today, for example, workers at Livermore’s Plutonium Facility follow strict procedures.

Since the 1940s, radiation workers have been routinely monitored using bioassay techniques—both in vivo (e.g., whole-body and lung counters) and in vitro (e.g., feces, hair, saliva, and blood samples). From these bioassay results, internal doses are derived using biokinetic models (see box pp. 18–20) and dose-estimation techniques. From the resulting doses, the worker’s level of exposure is estimated and compared with radiation protection standards.

Because the biokinetic models, dose-estimation techniques, regulatory standards, and reporting requirements have evolved over time, today we have a vast number of dose estimates that are difficult to compare from one time period to another. The next task of our Internal Dosimetry Assistance Group, led by David Hickman, is to evaluate and reconcile these past data. This is a huge task involving more than 50 years of bioassay data, with sometimes daily sample frequencies.

The Internal Dosimetry Assistance Group also performs work for outside organizations. One recent study of workers at another facility involved employees who had unintentionally received intakes of phosphorus-32, an isotope commonly used in biomedical research. Large amounts of phosphorus-32 could make individuals who also received large amounts of other radionuclides in the body (e.g., iodine-131) incapable of working. However, we have also been able to use our accumulated data, along with new biokinetic and dosimetry models, as a standard method of evaluating worker exposure to radionuclides independent of the time the exposure occurred. We have used this information to establish new human-based, rather than animal-based, biokinetic models that dosimetrists can use as default radionuclide retention assumptions when bioassay data are not available.

The Marshall Islanders

Fallout from nuclear tests between 1946 and 1958 at Bikini and Eniwetok atolls contaminated several islands of the Northern Marshall Islands in the western Pacific Ocean. In particular, the BRAVO Test on March 1, 1954, contributed heavily to the contamination at Bikini Atoll and at other atolls to the east. Reconstructed to other islands prior to the tests, the people of Bikini and Eniwetok atolls have wanted to return home ever since. As part of an evaluation of resettlement options, our team led by Nancy Allen, a training instructor in the Hazards Control Department, lying on a scanning-bed, whole-body counter. Its four germanium detectors measure the distribution of high-energy, photon-emitting radionuclides. In (b), 15.2-centimeter (6-inch) square Phoswich detectors are positioned over her lungs, and in (c), low-energy germanium (LEGe) detectors also cover her lungs. Both the Phoswich and LEGe detectors measure low-energy, photon-emitting radionuclides in such organs as the lungs, thyroid, liver, kidney, bone, and lymph nodes. The LGE detector best identifies specific radionuclides, while the Phoswich measures a larger area and provides more accurate readings of radionuclide activity levels. These detectors are housed in an underground room built with thick shielding to eliminate as much background radiation as possible.
Lawrence Livermore comes to the task of dose assessment with a full complement of time-tested tools. Many have been evolving since the days of the Manhattan Project, while others, like computer modeling, are much newer. In addition to the tools described below, we also apply industry-standard radiation detection and bioassay techniques as needed.

**Biodosimetry**

Biodosimetry—or biodosimetry—measures the effects of radiation exposure on biological organisms. The goal of biodosimetry is to quantify how an exposure is distributed within an organism when the exposure is known or, when the exposure is not known, to “back in” to the dose from observation of the organism.

Biodosimetry involves establishing a dose-response relationship or following the dose as it is distributed throughout an organism. Dose measurements can be made directly, such as by measuring the radiant energy emitted with a whole-body counter, or indirectly by measuring the dose’s biological effects. The biological parameters most often relied on are survival, birth defects, chromosomal abnormalities, chromosome breakage, and chemical changes.

To help us understand the effects of low doses of radiation on people and animals, at Livermore we use the sensitive techniques of chromosome painting and accelerator mass spectrometry.

Chromosome painting using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) is a technique developed at Livermore for studying chromosomal changes in cells. Chromosomal DNA is labeled with chemicals that can be stained to produce vivid colors; the colors allow the different chromosomes to be readily distinguished so that chromosomal rearrangements (such as translocations) can be identified. (See the images below.) Studies have shown that these translocations are stable over time,* which makes FISH particularly useful for dose reconstruction. For example, a worker who accidentally inhaled tritium oxide in 1986 was re-evaluated six years later using translocations measured by FISH. The dose, estimated biodosimetrically, confirmed the dosimetry results obtained immediately after the accident.

**Accelerator Mass Spectrometry**

Accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) is used to detect a variety of isotopes at very low concentrations. Its sensitivity is typically a million times greater than that of conventional mass spectrometry. AMS was first used for dose reconstruction in 1979 to analyze concrete and other mineral materials from Hiroshima for chlorine-36 produced by the bomb. Today, Livermore’s Center for Accelerator Mass Spectrometry, under the leadership of Acting Director Ivan Proctor, is one of the few in the world used for dose reconstruction purposes. (See the photo p. 19.)

Mass spectrometry is used to determine the mass of an atomic species or molecular compound. AMS, as it is applied at Livermore, adds three steps to mass spectrometry. After the initial acceleration to kilovolt energies and the separation of the ion beam by mass to electrical charge, a second acceleration of millions of volts is applied. Then the ion beam is stripped to a charge state where at least three electrons are removed from the atoms of interest, thus destroying all molecular species. The resulting positive ion beam is further accelerated through a third stage of millions of volts. Finally, the isotope has its mass, energy, velocity, and charge redundantly determined to remove background events associated with the instrument.

Livermore’s pioneering use of AMS in carbon-14 measurements for biomedical applications led to applications involving other isotopes of biological interest, such as tritium (hydrogen-3), aluminum-26, calcium-41, and iodine-129. Today, for example, tritium can be detected at the level of 0.1 parts per quadrillion (10^-15) and iodine-129 at 40 parts per quadrillion.

A project led by Laboratory scientist Tore Straume is using AMS’s advanced separation techniques to measure levels of nicked-63 in copper samples from Hiroshima. These measurements, which sample a different energy slice of the bomb’s neutron output, will help resolve discrepancies in earlier, less sensitive measurements of neutrons near the bomb’s hypocenter and lead to a more accurate dose assessment.

**Biokinetic Models**

Livermore was a pioneer in the development of biokinetic models to describe the retention of a radioactive substance in the body or an organ as a function of time. (Biological kinetics, or biokinetics, describes the dynamics of the body—e.g., inhalation, exhalation, absorption, adsorption, metabolism, excretion—any of which may affect the retention of a substance.) Some biokinetic models are specific for a particular organ while others describe whole-body systemic retention.

Biokinetic models are published as internationally accepted default models for use by laboratories. Scientists use the fractional retention from the model coupled with bioassay measurements to derive an estimate of intake. Biokinetic models are also used to project a worker’s internal dose for up to 50 years after an intake.

Livermore’s Internal Dosimetry Assistance Group has been responsible for updating many biokinetic models. Workers who handle radioactive materials have been regularly monitored since the inception of the Manhattan Project, supplying a wealth of information about individuals and groups of people. The accuracy of internal dose projections 50 years after an intake depends on the adequacy of the biokinetic models used for the projection. We use biokinetic models to calculate the expected results from bioassays over 50 years, which are then compared with measured bioassay levels in the years following an intake. With these data and within the accuracy of the models, we can determine whether additional intakes occurred during the working career of the employee.

Likewise, the projection of bioassay levels makes it possible to determine the adequacy of the biokinetic models for humans and to refine these models as data become available, thus improving internal dose projections. Our model-development work provides realistic biokinetic parameters that are used by dosimetrists all over the world to estimate doses to anyone who has been exposed to radioactive materials.

**ARAC**

Livermore’s Atmospheric Release Advisory Capability (ARAC), under Thomas Sullivan, has a very clear charter: to address any kind of radiological release anywhere in the world. A network of 15 centers, ARAC’s experts respond to global radiological releases. Central offices at Livermore support user workstations at 40 designated facilities, continuously exchanging real-time, site-specific meteorological information, local data, and central system—prepared advanced model calculations.

To produce simulation models that show the movement, extent, and magnitude of atmospheric releases, ARAC’s experts...
also rely on three-dimensional atmospheric transport and dispersion models, graphical displays, and extensive databases (e.g., geophysical data, radionuclide and toxic-substance properties, dose conversion factors, topography for any part of the world, a detailed weather network). ARAC can model a radiological accident in the U.S. within 15 to 60 minutes. HOTSPOT, another atmospheric dispersion tool developed at Livermore, can be run for initial assessment in a few minutes on a palm-sized computer.

ARAC has received international recognition for its success in responding to and assessing radioactive atmospheric release accidents throughout the world. Some of ARAC's more notable responses include the re-entry of the U.S.S.R.'s nuclear-powered Cosmos-954 satellite into the atmosphere over Canada (1978), the Three Mile Island accident in Pennsylvania (1979), the accidental release of uranium hexafluoride from the Seysogol Fuels Facility in Oklahoma (1986), as well as the Chernobyl reactor accident in the former Soviet Union. Other responses involved nonradioactive releases, including the oil fires in Kuwait and the eruption of Mount Pinatubo in the Philippines, both in 1991.

In an effort to be prepared for accidents like Chernobyl, for nuclear terrorism, and for other radiological events, ARAC also provides an emergency response and assessment service to many federal agencies. One aspect of this service is preparing assessments of the atmospheric dispersal of radionuclides from likely accidents so that planners can put in place the resources to deal with them. ARAC has done many such studies for facilities at the Nevada Test Site, at LLNL, and at other DOE sites. ARAC also provides input to and participates in a variety of training exercises. We can model accident scenarios provided by a client by using real-time meteorology at the client's site, thus enhancing the realism of the exercise. ARAC can also "create" special meteorology to test a particular aspect of a site's response.

William Robison (510) 422-3840 (robison1@llnl.gov).

In all of our dose assessment work at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, UCRL-JC-122616 (1995).

Uncertainty and variability in the estimated dose to a population can be reduced by better quantification of key input parameters. The objective of the Livermore dose assessment team is to provide an upper-bound estimate of the potential dose, but at a high environmental and dollar cost. If the top 40 centimeters of soil were removed in just the housing and village area and the rest of the island treated with potassium fertilizer, the maximum annual effective dose would be reduced to 0.41 millisieverts, which is comparable to the effective dose from two chest x-rays. This information has been provided to the Marshall Islanders, but they have not yet decided how they wish their islands to be rehabilitated. In the meantime, sampling continues (see Figure 3) with a focus on further characterization of the sampled areas, more systematic sampling of the atolls, and countermeasures to reduce doses.4

The Job Ahead

In all of our dose assessment work at Livermore, we strive to understand not only the effects of high levels of exposure from atomic weapons and reactor accidents but also of very small exposures. And as we develop new methods and tools, our dose estimates can be made increasingly accurate. For example, a revised biokinetic model for a particular organ may prompt a new retrospective study to update an internal dose that had been based on an old model. The more we know about the effects of radiation, the better we can prepare for future accidents, educate our workers and the public about radiation's risks, and manage those risks to mitigate them.

—Kate Walter
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Client systems such as supercomputers and massively parallel processors

With typical large-scale data storage systems today, general-purpose computers act as storage servers connecting storage units with client systems. As storage sizes and capacities increase, the storage servers increase in size and cost, and bottlenecks occur in the transfer of stored data to client systems.

Focus on the Network

Operating on a high-performance network, the High-Performance Storage System uses a variety of cooperating distributed servers to control the management and movement of data stored on devices attached directly to the network. HPSS is designed to allow data to be transferred directly from one or more disk or tape controllers to a client once an HPSS server has established a transfer session. Its interfaces support parallel or sequential access to storage devices by clients executing parallel or sequential applications. HPSS can even manage data transfers in a situation where the number of data sources and destinations are different. Parallel data transfer is vital in situations that demand fast access to very large files and to reach the high data transfer rates of present and future supercomputers.

All aspects of HPSS are scalable so that the storage system can grow incrementally as user needs increase. The parallel nature of HPSS is one key to its scalability. For example, if a system has a storage device that can deliver 100 megabytes (100 million bytes) per second but a gigabyte (a billion bytes) per second is needed, then 10 devices in parallel, controlled by HPSS software, can be used to “scale up” to the new requirement. With this design, HPSS will be able to handle almost unlimited storage capacity, data transfer rates of billions of bytes per second and beyond, virtually unlimited file sizes, millions of naming directories, and hundreds to thousands of simultaneous clients.

HPSS uses several mechanisms to ensure data reliability and integrity. An important one is the use of transactions, which are groups of operations that either take place together or not at all. The problem with transactions is that a common job is that one server may fail or not be able to do its part. Transactions assure that all servers successfully complete their job or the function is aborted. Although transactional integrity is common in relational data management systems, it is new in storage systems.

HPSS was designed to support a range of supercomputing client platforms, operate on many vendors’ platforms, and use industry-standard storage hardware. The basic infrastructure of HPSS is the Open Software Foundation’s Distributed Computing Environment because of its wide adoption among vendors and its almost universal acceptance by the computer industry. The HPSS code is also available to vendors and users for transferring HPSS to new platforms.

The principal HPSS development partners are IBM Worldwide Government Industry and four national laboratories—Lawrence Livermore, Los Alamos, Oak Ridge, and Sandia. There have been two releases of HPSS thus far, and IBM is marketing the system commercially. HPSS has already been adopted by the California Institute of Technology/Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Cornell Theory Center, Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Maui High-Performance Computer Center, NASA Langley Research Center, San Diego Supercomputer Center, and the University of Washington, as well as by the participating Department of Energy laboratories.

In combination with computers that can produce and manipulate huge amounts of data at ever-increasing rates, HPSS’s scalable, parallel, network-based design gives users the capability to solve problems that could not be tackled before. As computing capacity and memory grow, so will HPSS evolve to meet the demand.

—Katie Walter
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For further information, contact Dick Watson (510) 422-8216 (dwatson@llnl.gov) or visit the HPSS Internet home page at http://www.sdsc.edu/hpss/.

The HPHigh-Performance Storage System eliminates the bottlenecks and scalability limits of server-centered architecture by connecting the storage units directly to a high-speed network. This network-centered architecture allows the data to flow directly between client systems and storage units, bypassing the storage servers. Throughput is scalable to and beyond the rate of a gigabyte (a billion bytes) per-second.

Performance Storage System uses a variety of cooperating distributed servers to control the management and movement of data stored on devices attached directly to the network. HPSS is designed to allow data to be transferred directly from one or more...
Detecting Clandestine Nuclear Tests

When President Clinton and other world leaders signed the landmark Comprehensive Nuclear Test Ban Treaty last September, they served notice that any signatory nation trying to conceal an underground nuclear test would have to elude a vigorous international verification program armed with the latest monitoring technologies. Thanks to the work of a multidisciplinary Lawrence Livermore team, the international community now has a powerful new forensic tool to help enforce the treaty by detecting even deeply buried clandestine nuclear tests.

Under the terms of the treaty, which bans all nuclear weapons test explosions, a system of verification and inspection will be administered by the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty Organization in Vienna, Austria. Lawrence Livermore scientists have long played an important role in providing monitoring technologies in support of nuclear treaty verification and on-site inspection. The latest Livermore technology is based on the discovery that minute amounts of rare, radioactive gases generated in underground nuclear detonations will migrate toward the surface along natural fault lines and earth fissures.

Livermore geophysicist Charles Carrigan led the team that included physicists Ray Heine, Bryant Hudson, and John Nitao and geophysicist Jay Zucca. With the help of results from earlier studies, they theorized that highly sensitive instruments might detect telltale radioactive gases rising during periods of barometric low pressure through natural fissures in the ground above the blast. To test the hypothesis, the team obtained two gases, 0.2 kilograms (7 ounces) of helium-3 and 50 kilograms (110 pounds) of sulfur hexafluoride, as tracers. These nonradioactive gases are ideal tracers because they are present in very low quantities in the natural environment.

As the photo on p. 25 shows, the bottles containing the gases were placed with a 1.3-kiloton charge of chemical explosives into a mined cavity that was 15 meters (50 feet) in diameter and 5 meters (17 feet) high. The cavity was located 400 meters (1,300 feet) below the surface, two to three times deeper than that required for a similar sized underground nuclear test. A somewhat shallower detonation, says Carrigan, might have produced a collapse crater or extensive fractures connecting the cavity with the surface, both telltale signs of an underground explosion. Hence, clandestine tests would very likely be conducted at the greater depth to avoid easy detection of treaty violations.

Simulating a Nuclear Test

The detonation, known as the Non-Proliferation Experiment, occurred on September 22, 1993, in the rocky Rainier Mesa of the Nevada Test Site, where some of the nation’s nuclear tests were conducted until a testing moratorium went into effect in 1992. The chemical explosion simulated a 1-kiloton underground nuclear detonation, which, as expected, did not produce any visible new cracks in the Earth.

Over the year and a half following the blast, team members, including technical support personnel from Test Site contractors EG&G and REECo, collected nearly 200 samples of subsoil gases for measurement. At some sampling stations, sampling tubes were driven into the ground to depths of 1.5 to 5 meters (5 to 16 feet) along fractures and faults. At other stations, tubes were simply placed beneath plastic sheeting that was spread on the ground to trap rising soil gases and to limit atmospheric infiltration (see photo, p. 26).

The first positive finding came 50 days after the explosion, when sulfur hexafluoride was detected in fractures along a fault. Interestingly, the much lighter helium-3 showed up 375 days—more than a year—following the explosion. Both gases were first detected along the same natural fissure within 550 meters (1,800 feet) of the blast site.

Over the course of the extended sampling period, virtually all the samples yielding concentrations of the two tracers appeared along natural faults and fractures in the mesa during periods of low atmospheric pressure, mainly at the beginning of storms. The low pressure accompanying storms, says Carrigan, makes it possible for the gases to move toward the surface along the faults. Although over the course of a year the number of low-pressure days equal the number of high-pressure days, the gases are eventually drawn upward.

“There’s a ratcheting effect,” he explains. “The gases don’t go back down as much as they go up.” (See the simulation on p. 26.)

Carrigan notes that it is counterintuitive that helium-3 takes so much longer to make its way up natural fissures than sulfur hexafluoride, which is 50 times heavier. Computer models developed at Livermore showed that this result occurred because most of the heavier sulfur hexafluoride gas moved directly up the rock fractures. In contrast, the helium-3 diffused readily into the porous walls of the rocks as it slowly moved upward toward the soil surface. Critical to determining why helium-3 behaved as it did was Bryant Hudson’s analysis of helium-3 in Livermore’s noble gas laboratory, where he used mass spectrometry to measure the presence of helium-3 in soil-gas samples down to parts per trillion.

Modeling the Detonation

Carrigan and Nitao modeled the experiment using a porous-flow simulation software called NUFT (Non-Isothermal Unsaturated Flow and Transport) developed at LLNL by Nitao. In attempting to make the simulation as realistic as possible, the team used actual barometric pressure variation data from the Rainier Mesa weather station. The simulation showed the two gases moving at different rates toward the surface following the detonation. The calculated arrival times at the surface for both tracers were in excellent agreement with the data.

Given the good agreement between the computer model and the observations, the team then used NUFT to simulate the gases released from an underground 1-kiloton nuclear test under atmospheric conditions similar to those that followed the 1993 Non-Proliferation Experiment. The software was used to predict the arrival of detectable concentrations of the rare gases argon-37 and xenon-133 at 50 and 80 days, respectively, after the detonation.

These two isotopes are ideal indicators of nuclear explosions because they are not produced naturally in significant quantities; thus, background levels are extremely low. Also, their short half-lives of 34.8 days and 5.2 days can be used to infer how recently an event had occurred. Other, more long-lived isotopes might still be present in the environment from decades-old tests and would tend to muddy the conclusions of investigators trying to determine whether a clandestine test had recently occurred.

The successful confirmation of the experiment by computer simulation implies that sampling of soil gases for rare, explosion-produced radioactive tracer gases at the surface near a suspected underground test can be an extremely sensitive way to detect telltale radioactive gases rising during periods of barometric low pressure through natural fissures in the ground above the blast. To test the hypothesis, the team obtained two gases, 0.2 kilograms (7 ounces) of helium-3 and 50 kilograms (110 pounds) of sulfur hexafluoride, as tracers. These nonradioactive gases are ideal tracers because they are present in very low quantities in the natural environment.

As the photo on p. 25 shows, the bottles containing the gases were placed with a 1.3-kiloton charge of chemical explosives into a mined cavity that was 15 meters (50 feet) in diameter and 5 meters (17 feet) high. The cavity was located 400 meters (1,300 feet) below the surface, two to three times deeper than that required for a similar sized underground nuclear test. A somewhat shallower detonation, says Carrigan, might have produced a collapse crater or extensive fractures connecting the cavity with the surface, both telltale signs of an underground explosion. Hence, clandestine tests would very likely be conducted at the greater depth to avoid easy detection of treaty violations.

Simulating a Nuclear Test

The detonation, known as the Non-Proliferation Experiment, occurred on September 22, 1993, in the rocky Rainier Mesa of the Nevada Test Site, where some of the nation’s nuclear tests were conducted until a testing moratorium went into effect in 1992. The chemical explosion simulated a 1-kiloton underground nuclear detonation, which, as expected, did not produce any visible new cracks in the Earth.

Over the year and a half following the blast, team members, including technical support personnel from Test Site contractors EG&G and REECo, collected nearly 200 samples of subsoil gases for measurement. At some sampling stations, sampling tubes were driven into the ground to depths of 1.5 to 5 meters (5 to 16 feet) along fractures and faults. At other stations, tubes were simply placed beneath plastic sheeting that was spread on the ground to trap rising soil gases and to limit atmospheric infiltration (see photo, p. 26).

The first positive finding came 50 days after the explosion, when sulfur hexafluoride was detected in fractures along a fault. Interestingly, the much lighter helium-3 showed up 375 days—more than a year—following the explosion. Both gases were first detected along the same natural fissure within 550 meters (1,800 feet) of the blast site.

Over the course of the extended sampling period, virtually all the samples yielding concentrations of the two tracers appeared along natural faults and fractures in the mesa during periods of low atmospheric pressure, mainly at the beginning of storms. The low pressure accompanying storms, says Carrigan, makes it possible for the gases to move toward the surface along the faults. Although over the course of a year the number of low-pressure days equal the number of high-pressure days, the gases are eventually drawn upward.

“There’s a ratcheting effect,” he explains. “The gases don’t go back down as much as they go up.” (See the simulation on p. 26.)

Carrigan notes that it is counterintuitive that helium-3 takes so much longer to make its way up natural fissures than sulfur hexafluoride, which is 50 times heavier. Computer models developed at Livermore showed that this result occurred because most of the heavier sulfur hexafluoride gas moved directly up the rock fractures. In contrast, the helium-3 diffused readily into the porous walls of the rocks as it slowly moved upward toward the soil surface. Critical to determining why helium-3 behaved as it did was Bryant Hudson’s analysis of helium-3 in Livermore’s noble gas laboratory, where he used mass spectrometry to measure the presence of helium-3 in soil-gas samples down to parts per trillion.

Modeling the Detonation

Carrigan and Nitao modeled the experiment using a porous-flow simulation software called NUFT (Non-Isothermal Unsaturated Flow and Transport) developed at LLNL by Nitao. In attempting to make the simulation as realistic as possible, the team used actual barometric pressure variation data from the Rainier Mesa weather station. The simulation showed the two gases moving at different rates toward the surface following the detonation. The calculated arrival times at the surface for both tracers were in excellent agreement with the data.

Given the good agreement between the computer model and the observations, the team then used NUFT to simulate the gases released from an underground 1-kiloton nuclear test under atmospheric conditions similar to those that followed the 1993 Non-Proliferation Experiment. The software was used to predict the arrival of detectable concentrations of the rare gases argon-37 and xenon-133 at 50 and 80 days, respectively, after the detonation.

These two isotopes are ideal indicators of nuclear explosions because they are not produced naturally in significant quantities; thus, background levels are extremely low. Also, their short half-lives of 34.8 days and 5.2 days can be used to infer how recently an event had occurred. Other, more long-lived isotopes might still be present in the environment from decades-old tests and would tend to muddy the conclusions of investigators trying to determine whether a clandestine test had recently occurred.

The successful confirmation of the experiment by computer simulation implies that sampling of soil gases for rare, explosion-produced radioactive tracer gases at the surface near a suspected underground test can be an extremely sensitive way
to detect nearby underground nuclear explosions that do not fracture the surface. As a result, says Carrigan, an on-site inspection has a good chance of finding conclusive evidence for a clandestine nuclear explosion for several months afterward.

Putting Treaty Evaders on Notice

“If detected, the radioisotope signals would be unequivocal,” according to Bryant Hudson. “They would put treaty evaders on notice that they risk detection if they try to explode a nuclear device underground. We can’t absolutely guarantee there won’t be cheating, but we’ve made it more difficult.”

Carrigan points out that because of political considerations, it may take some time to get a country to agree to an on-site inspection under the terms of the test ban treaty. The team members caution that searching for tracer gases is only one of many detection tools. Other methods that might be used at a suspected test site include analyzing the debris, examining the earth for fractures and craters, and searching for pipes and cables leading underground.

In discussing the work of the team, Carrigan attributes its accomplishments to a confluence of Lawrence Livermore strengths in computer simulation, geophysical theory, nuclear test containment, and radiochemistry. “Interdisciplinary collaboration made this work possible,” he says.

---
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The B-Factory and the Big Bang

A B-Factory, a virtual “time machine” back to the early moments of the Big Bang that created the universe, is now under construction at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center (SLAC). The $300 million project to produce copious amounts of B mesons is a combined effort of SLAC, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory. Scheduled for completion in early 1999, the facility will be one of the flagships of the U.S. high-energy physics program. Nearly 200 Laboratory specialists, representing a broad range of disciplines, are contributing to the B-Factory effort. The B-Factory’s two underground rings, each 2,200 meters (a mile and a half) in circumference, will generate B mesons by colliding electrons and positrons (antimatter counterpart of electrons) at near the speed of light. A key feature of this collider is the fact that electrons and positrons will circulate and collide with unequal (or “asymmetric”) energies so that scientists can better explore the particles generated in the collisions.

In helping to design and manufacture many of the major components and detector systems for the B-Factory’s twin particle beam rings and its three-story-tall detector, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory is benefiting from the Laboratory’s ongoing research in high energy physics technology. In addition, many LLNL capabilities brought to bear on the technical challenges of the B-Factory are enhancing the Laboratory’s efforts for the DOE Stockpile Stewardship Program.

Contact: Karl van Bibber (510) 423-8949 (vanbibber1@llnl.gov).

Assessing Exposure to Radiation

Since the founding of Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, we have been world leaders in evaluating the risks associated with radiation. Ultrasensitive tools allow us not only to measure radiocollides present in the body but also to reconstruct the radiation dose from past nuclear events and to project the levels of radiation that will still be present in the body for 50 years after the initial intake. A variety of laboratory procedures, including some developed here, give detailed information on the effects of radiation at the cellular level. Even today, we are re-evaluating the neutron dose resulting from the bomb at Hiroshima. Our dose reconstruction and projection capabilities have also been applied to studies of Nagasaki. Chernobyl, the Mayak industrial complex in the former Soviet Union, the Nevada Test Site, bikini Atoll, and other sites. We are evaluating the information being collected on individuals currently working with radioactive material at Livermore and elsewhere as well as previously collected data on workers that extends back to the Manhattan Project.

Contact: William Robison (510) 422-3840 (robison1@llnl.gov).

Lab delivers portable DNA system to U.S. Army

A new portable DNA analysis system developed at Lawrence Livermore could revolutionize tests of food and water for contamination in remote locations and aid in identification of human remains on the battlefield, says a top Army forensic pathologist.

Lt. Col. Victor Weeden, M.D., chief deputy in the Office of the Armed Forces Medical Examiners and program manager of the Department of Defense Registry of the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, took delivery of the DNA analyzer for the U.S. Army in early November.

In addition to its contamination testing and remains identification applications, the system could be used to identify pathogenic bacteria on the battlefield. Using a technique known as polymerase chain reaction (PCR), the machine makes millions to billions of copies of specific DNA from traces of blood or other cells—whether plant, animal, or bacterial—at a fraction of the cost and time. The Laboratory has developed a microchip-based technology to perform this DNA testing.

M. Allen Northrup, the project’s principal investigator, believes the new instrument is the first portable, battery-operated DNA analysis system. Developed at the Laboratory’s Micro Technology Center, the system was funded by the Department of Defense’s Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency.

Contact: M. Allen Northrup (510) 422-1638 (northrup1@llnl.gov).

The B-Factory and the Big Bang